

APPENDIX 6
HAZMAT INITIAL SITE ASSESSMENT

TxDOT Hazardous Materials Initial Site Assessment (ISA)

Project Information

CSJ No: 0151-09-036	City: Austin	Zip Code:	County: Travis
HWY: US 183	Limits: From US 290 to SH 71		

ISA Exclusion/Screening

- The project does not consist of any work activities other than overlay, seal coat, resurfacing, rehabilitation, or restoration done within the existing ROW on an existing road and completely within the footprint of existing base course. Therefore, no further hazardous materials action is required and the project is eligible for a PCE or lesser classification pending review of other environmental conditions.
- The project does **not** meet the conditions listed above and, therefore, the ISA form must be completed. Proceed with the following Preliminary Project Design and Right-of-Way questions.

Section 1: Identify Previously Known Hazmat Conditions and Preliminary Project Design and Right-of-Way Requirements

Yes/No	Obtain information/comments from design (DES), right of way (ROW), and/or environmental (ENV) staff. Attach maps and/or details as appropriate.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown	Are there any previous environmental assessments, testing or studies performed within the proposed project area related to contamination issues? If yes, explain here if there are any concerns to the proposed project: <i>[Investigation of trash in soil north of Loyola Lane. TRC memorandum dated 12/9/06 included recommendation for air monitoring of an confined space (i.e. excavation) near soil borings S-02 and S-24.]</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Are preliminary plans detailed enough to show excavation, ROW features, pipelines, utilities and storm sewer details?

Section 2: Identify Potential Hazardous Material Issues

Yes/No	Using the preliminary design and ROW information for this project, determine if the project includes any of the activities listed below. These activities are known to increase the chance of encountering a contamination issue. (Indicate all that apply)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Are there proposed structure demolition operations or structure modifications (include all ROW structures and bridges). If yes, provide structure locations, anticipated demolitions and/or renovations here: <i>[See the attached description of the proposed project and Figure 3.]</i> Potential issues: lead based paint, asbestos, municipal/hazardous waste disposal.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Are there proposed excavations exceeding three feet below the surface, to include: tunneling, underpass construction, vertical alignment changes, trenching, drilled shafts or storm sewers. If yes, provide location and depth information here: <i>[See Figure 3 for the plan view. Underpass construction with excavation would occur for the main lanes at Loyola Lane (Sheet 3), Techni Center Drive (Sheet 6) and Montopolis Boulevard (Sheet 12). Maximum excavation at the intersections would be about 34, 23, and 17 feet respectively. Vertical alignments would be depressed with excavations from 3 to 18 feet near RM 969 (Sheet 5), Harold Court (Sheet 7), Bolm Road (Sheet 9), Gardner Road (Sheet 10), and Hergotz Lane (Sheet 12). Drilled shafts would be completed at the proposed bridge structures, the depth of which would be determined during final PS&E.]</i> Potential issues: Soil or groundwater contamination

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Are there proposed pipeline and underground utility installation or adjustments. If yes, provide type, location and depth information here:</p> <p>Potential issues: asbestos coating on pipe, soil or groundwater contamination</p>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Are there proposed de-watering operations. If yes, what is the estimated depth to groundwater? Provide location and depth of excavation information here:</p> <p><i>[Where excavations occur, dewatering may be necessary. Depth to groundwater is estimated to be 27'. Underpass construction with excavation would occur for the main lanes at Loyola Lane (Sheet 3), Techni Center Drive (Sheet 6) and Montopolis Boulevard (Sheet 12). Maximum excavation at the intersections would be about 34, 23, and 17 feet respectively. Vertical alignments would be depressed with excavations from 3 to 18 feet near RM 969 (Sheet 5), Harold Court (Sheet 7), Bolm Road (Sheet 9), Gardner Road (Sheet 10), and Hergotz Lane (Sheet 12). Drilled shafts would be completed at the proposed bridge structures, the depth of which would be determined during final PS&E.]</i></p> <p>Potential issue: Contaminated groundwater</p>
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Are there known encroachments into the project area? If yes, provide location and type here:</p> <p>Potential issues: Asbestos or lead if structures are present, soil or groundwater contamination from encroaching pipelines, petroleum tanks or other industrial facilities.</p>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Is there a purchase of new ROW or easement? If yes, provide location and approximate acreage/dimensions here:</p> <p><i>1 acre of new right-of-way and 8 acres of drainage easements. See Sheets 4, 5, 7, 9, and 10 for location and dimensions.</i></p> <p>Potential issues: asbestos or lead if structures are present, soil or groundwater contamination from nearby pipelines, petroleum tanks or other industrial activities.</p>

Complete the appropriate box below:

- The project includes one or more of the activities listed above. Please proceed to Section 3.
- The project does not include any of the activities listed above. Please perform a site survey and document the results in Section 6 and then mark the appropriate box below.
- The site survey did not identify evidence of any environmental concerns listed in Section 6; consequently, the project meets the outlined conditions and the ISA is complete. Sign the ISA and file it in the project file. See Appendix A, Table 2 for suggested NEPA documentation language
 - The site survey identified evidence of environmental concerns listed in Section 6. Continue with **Section 3** below to determine additional data collections required.

Section 3: Identification of Data Collection Actions

Note: Using the information listed on **Table 1, Appendix A**, determine the level 1 data collection actions for the ISA.

Required? Yes /No	Required Level 1 Data Collection Action	Corresponding Section of the ISA Form to Complete
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Conduct Current & Historic Land Use Review	Section 4
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Review existing project geotechnical boring logs to identify potential environmental concerns	Section 4.6
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Conduct ASTM E1527 Level or Equivalent Regulatory Database Search	Section 5
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Conduct Site Survey	Section 6

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Conduct Interviews	Section 7
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Conduct ASTM E1527-05 Phase 1 ESA	No Corresponding Section (This requires the completion of a separate document. Call ENV for assistance)

Note: Based on the data collection actions indicated above (Section 3), complete the required corresponding sections of the ISA form below. Use best professional judgment to determine whether to collect other data that is not required (Contact ENV for assistance or guidance). Place an "NA" in non-required sections.

Section 4: Current and Past Land Use Information

Reviewed?	Document and attach sources reviewed. Review and assess current and past land use (up to 50 years) in the project area. Document and attach sources that were reviewed.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Available <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	4.1 Review Current and if possible Past USGS 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps of the project area: Look for oil & gas pipelines, tanks, landfills or other industrial features. Describe any concerns:		
	List Topo Maps Reviewed:	Dates:	Comments :
	Austin East	1954, 66, 73, 88, 2008	
	Montopolis	1955, 66, 73, 88, 2008	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Available <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	4.2 Review Current Aerial Photographs and if possible Past Aerial Photographs of the project area: Look for oil & gas pipelines, tanks, landfills or other industrial features. Describe any concerns:		
	List All Aerial Photos Reviewed	Photo Dates:	Comments
	Austin East and Montopolis quads	2010	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Available <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	4.3 Review Current and Past Right-of-Way Maps/Files: Look for oil & gas pipelines, tanks, landfills or other industrial features. Describe any concerns:		
	List Maps/ Files & Dates Reviewed:	Comments	
	Right-of-way maps 8/2012		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Available <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	4.5 Review TxDOT As-Built Plans: Any concerns identified during previous work within the project limits? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Available <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	4.6 Review TxDOT Geotechnical Soil Boring Logs: Any concerns noted on the boring logs such as unusual odors, visible contamination, trash, waste or debris? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes explain: [<i>Borings done as part of soil investigation north of Loyola Lane. Trash found.</i>]		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Available <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	4.7 Review TxDOT Temporary Use ROW Agreements: Any concerns such as monitor wells or treatment systems within the ROW? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain:		

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Available <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	4.8 Review Notifications of Contamination to TxDOT (These are typically letters from TCEQ or third parties explaining the presence of contamination on TxDOT ROW): Any concerns regarding contamination of ROW from off-site sources? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain:
---	--

Section 5: Complete a Regulatory Records Review (Database Search)

Note: The purpose of the regulatory records review (database search) is to obtain and review standard sources of environmental information from government agency records that will help identify potential hazardous material issues within the project limits and surrounding properties. A list of standard databases of environmental information from government agency records is included in Section 5.1.

To enhance and supplement the standard sources of environmental information, other information such as local records and/or additional state records should be reviewed when, in the judgment of the environmental professional, such additional records (1) are reasonably ascertainable, and (2) are sufficiently useful, accurate, and complete in light of the objective of the regulatory records review

Standard database source information or other record information from government agencies may be obtained directly from appropriate government agencies or from commercial services.

Mark the appropriate box below:

Database search was conducted through contracted services. Indicate in Section 5.1 and if applicable Section 5.2 the regulatory records searched and indicate whether a database included a potential environmental concern. A complete copy of the database search findings should be attached to this ISA.

Database search was conducted in-house. Include in Section 5.1 the regulatory records searched and indicate whether a database included a potential environmental concern. A complete copy of the database search findings should be attached to this ISA. Federal EPA databases link: <http://www.epa.gov/enviro/> . For Texas databases, links are included for each database.

Section 5.1 Standard Database Sources of Environmental Information from Government Agency Records

Regulatory Record Source	Reviewed	Recommended Minimum Search Distance from Site (miles)	Environmental Concerns (If Yes describe)
Federal National Priorities (NPL) list	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	1.0	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Federal Delisted NPL list	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	0.5	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Federal CERCLIS list- Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	0.5	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Federal CERCLIS No Further Remedial Action Planned (NFRAP) site list	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	0.5	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Federal RCRA Corrective Action (CORRACTS) list	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	1.0	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Federal RCRA non-CORRACTS Transfer Storage Disposal (TSD) facilities list	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	0.5	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Federal RCRA generators	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<i>property and adjoining properties</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Federal ERNS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<i>property only</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
TCEQ Industrial Hazardous Waste (IHW) Corrective Action sites http://www.tceq.state.tx.us/remediation/corrective_action/ihwcentralregistry.html	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	1.0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, BAE Systems IHW Corrective Action Solid Waste Registration # 31651. Excavation estimated to be 5' (see Sheet 5 of Figure 3) Left phone message with 239-2241 Chris Swiderski at TCEQ. <input type="checkbox"/> No
TCEQ Superfund sites http://www.tceq.state.tx.us/gis/metadata/suprfund_metadata.html	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	1.0	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Texas COG closed and abandoned municipal solid waste landfill site http://www.tceq.state.tx.us/permitting/waste_permits/waste_planning/wp_closed_if_inv.html	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	0.5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes Site # 897 and #901. The 500' buffers are located within TxDOT right-of-way (see Sheets 2 and 3 of Figure 3. #897 is a Brownsfield that appears to have been cleaned up in 2002. Excavation within the right-of-way and within the buffers shown for #897 and #901 would be less than 3 feet. #905 is located on a parcel that abuts the right-of-way. A map of the site in Exhibit B of the Special Warranty Deed (attached) indicates the landfill does not abutt the right-of-way. However, an investigation for trash in the area by TxDOT in 2006 (TRC report attached) indicated limited amounts of trash and a recommendation for air monitoring of confined space. <input type="checkbox"/> No
TCEQ leaking storage tank lists http://www.tceq.state.tx.us/remediation/pst_rp/pstquery.html	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	0.5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes # 111747 case closed but impacted groundwater and depth to groundwater was reported as 27'. Excavation is proposed to 23'. # 103970 impacted groundwater and now under a VCP. See VCP #619. <input type="checkbox"/> No
TCEQ registered storage tank lists http://www.tceq.state.tx.us/permitting/registration/pst/pstquery.html	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<i>property and adjoining properties</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

TCEQ voluntary cleanup program (VCP) sites http://www.tceq.texas.gov/mediation/vcp/vcp.html#Database	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	0.5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes #619 is active. Groundwater was impacted but depth not reported. Excavation in the area is anticipated at 18 feet (see Sheet 9 of Figure 3). Maps are attached to the copies of the TCEQ on-line reports included with this ISA. Left phone message with 239-2960 Stu Goldsmith at TCEQ. <input type="checkbox"/> No
TCEQ Innocent Owner/ Operator (IOP) sites http://www.tceq.texas.gov/mediation/iop/iop.html#database	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	0.5	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Texas Railroad Commission VCP sites http://www.rrc.state.tx.us/environmental/environsupport/VCPlist.php	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	0.5	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Section 5.2 List below other records reviewed such as local records and/or additional state records

Record source	Environmental Concerns (If Yes describe)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Section 6: Complete a Project Site Survey

Note: Document site survey and findings. Describe location, size of concern. Attach site maps and photographs as appropriate.

Site Survey Date(s): 7/2/2012

Current Land use type: Undeveloped to light commercial (agricultural, residential, offices, retail, light commercial)
 Developed/commercial (automotive repair, gas stations, manufacturing, dry cleaners, military base, waste collection and handling facilities, other industrial sites)

Describe:

Evidence? (Yes/No)	6.1 Specific Concerns Identified		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> underground storage tanks 		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> vent pipes, fill pipes, or access ways indicating a fill pipe protruding from the ground 		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> aboveground storage tanks 		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> electrical and transformer equipment 		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> injection wells, cisterns, sumps, dry wells flooring, drains, or walls stained by substances other than water or emitting foul odors 		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> vats, 55-gallon drums (labeled/unlabeled), canisters, barrels, bottles, etc. 		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> stockpiling, storage of material, Describe: construction materials 		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> evidence of liquid spills, Describe: 		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> surface dumping of trash, garbage, refuse, rubbish, debris half exposed/buried, etc. 		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> damaged or discarded automotive or industrial batteries 		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> stained, discolored, barren, exposed or foreign (fill) soil 		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> dead, damaged or stressed vegetation 		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> oil sheen or films on surface water, seeps, lagoons, ponds, or drainage basins 		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> pits, ponds, or lagoons associated with waste treatment or waste disposal 		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> changes in drainage patterns from possible fill areas 		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> security fencing, protected areas, placards, warning signs 		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> dead animals possibly due to contamination 		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> other concerns (<i>Describe</i>): 		
6.2 Describe adjoining properties and any visible hazardous material concerns. (List adjacent businesses, factories, abandoned sites, etc. that may be the source of hazardous materials concerns) <i>[No visible concerns]</i>			
6.3 Description of Site Survey Evidence (Indicate whether the concern is associated with existing ROW, proposed ROW acquisition or easement. As necessary, provide additional information about the evidence identified; include photographs as an attachment):			
Section 7: Conduct Interviews: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable to project needs			
	Name:	Title:	Date:
	Name:	Title:	Date:

Section 8: Document Conclusions	
<input type="checkbox"/> No hazardous materials concerns were identified for the proposed activities based on the ISA performed for the proposed action, (Proceed to section 9)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The initial site assessment identified the following identified or potential hazardous materials concerns for this project. (Select all issues/ concerns below that apply).	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Asbestos Containing Materials (Describe any concerns if yes) [<i>asbestos test of culverts and bridges</i>]
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Petroleum Storage Tank (Describe any concerns if yes)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Leaking Petroleum Storage Tank (Describe any concerns if yes)[<i># 111747 although case closed, impacted groundwater and depth to groundwater was reported as 27'. Excavation is proposed to 23'. # 103970 impacted groundwater and now under a VCP #619.</i>]
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Oil & Gas Wells (Describe any concerns if yes)
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Pipelines (Describe any concerns if yes)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Landfills/ Waste pits/ Dump sites (Describe any concerns if yes)[<i>confined space air monitoring needed</i>]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Lead Based Paint (Describe any concerns if yes) [<i>lead based pain test of 1963 bridges</i>]
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Non-LPST Source Contaminated Soil (Describe any concerns if yes)
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Non-LPST Source Contaminated Groundwater (Describe any concerns if yes)
Section 9: Determine the Need for Further Investigation	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No further hazardous material investigations are required for this project. (Go to Section 10.)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Additional information is needed to determine project impacts as a result of known or possible hazardous materials concerns for this project. Contact ENV.	
Note: If there is a need for further investigation as indicated in Sections 9, contact ENV as early as possible for assistance. ENV will initiate actions to resolve the hazardous materials issues.	
Section 10: Special Considerations or Actions Needed	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> To Be Determined	Are special considerations or actions needed during the right-of-way acquisition process or property management as a result of known or potential contamination/ hazardous materials? If yes explain:
<input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> To Be Determined	Are special considerations or actions needed during plans, specification and estimate (PS&E) development as a result of known or potential contamination/ hazardous materials? If yes explain: <i>It is likely that groundwater will be encountered during excavation. The groundwater may be contaminated with hydrocarbons. Air monitoring of confined spaces is required.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> To Be Determined	Are special considerations or actions needed during future maintenance operations as a result of known or potential contamination/ hazardous materials? If yes explain: <i>In areas excavated below the water table, groundwater may contain hydrocarbons.</i>
Note: If there is a need for special considerations as indicated 10, contact ENV as early as possible for assistance. ENV will initiate actions to resolve the hazardous materials issues.	

Refer to ENV-PPA's online Summary Guidance for Resolving Hazardous Materials Issues - Scheduling Considerations; Internal/ External Coordination and Recommended Practices and TxDOT's [online manual Hazardous Materials in Project Development for additional information.](#) Contact ENV as early as possible for assistance with resolving the hazardous materials issues.

Section 11: NEPA Programmatic Categorical Exclusion Determination

Yes No Will the project involve the acquisition of known unresolved contamination where TxDOT could reasonably expect to assume liability for corrective action upon acquisition?

Yes No Will project activities involve known hazardous materials impacts that could be anticipated to adversely affect construction (e.g. cannot resolve before letting or during construction)?


If the answer is Yes to one or both of the above two questions in Section 11, the project is not eligible for a PCE determination.

Refer to Table 3 in Appendix A for recommended NEPA documentation and EPIC language for hazardous materials management.

Section 12: Attachments

Circle	Project Map (Required): Figure 1, 2 and 3	USGS Topographic Maps (Required): Figure 4	Aerial Photographs: Figure 5
	ROW Maps/Files	Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps	Temporary Use Agreements
	TxDOT As-Built Plans	Notifications	Photographs
	Record of Communications	Regulatory Database: print-outs of records	Other:

Section 13: Contact/Completed by

Name:	Shelly Eason	Tel: 512-832-7001
Title:	Environmental Specialist	
Firm (District Section):	Austin District	
Address:	7901 N IH 35, Austin, Texas 78753	
Signature:		Date: 8/31/12